

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/015,518	12/13/2001	Ian James Rickards	750036.401C1	5934	
500	7590 12/10/2002				
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			EXAMINER		
			HARTMANN, GARY S		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
i			DATE MAIL ED. 12/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Appli		olicant(s)			
		10/015,51	18	RICKARDS, IAN JAMES				
, ,	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit				
		Gary Hart		3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ref. of the provision of the	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the state od will apply and wi ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 October 20	<u>02</u> .					
2a) Thi	s action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o								
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-13,16-18,20,23,24 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-18</u> is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>20,23,24 and 33</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	m(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election r	equirement.					
Application F	·							
· <u> </u>	specification is objected to by the Exami			hough a Forestina				
	drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2001</u> is	·		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	nowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority un	der 35 II S.C. & 110/a	\-(d) or (f)				
	l b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	igii phonty un	der 55 0.5.6. § 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
,	Certified copies of the priority docume	inte have hee	n received					
	Certified copies of the priority docume			on No. 00/260 00	13			
	•			•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· .	· ·	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson (U.S. Patent 3,696,878). Nelson discloses a compactor having two spaced modular units (10, 11). The units have a power source and steering adjustment. Both units have a compaction belt (57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson as applied above, and further in view of Moorhead (U.S. Patent 3,832,079). Nelson does not teach the drum configuration as claimed. Moorhead teaches a large drum (16) and two smaller drums (36, 42) used with a belt compactor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the configuration as claimed in order to better suit a particular application, in accordance with the teaching of Moorhead.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson as applied above, and further in view of Abd. El Halim (U.S. Patent 4,737,050). Nelson does not teach

Application/Control Number: 10/015,518

Art Unit: 3671

compacting asphalt. Abd. El Halim teaches using a belt compactor for use with asphalt. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the apparatus of Nelson for compacting an asphalt mat in order to obtain a smooth,

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-13 and 16-18 are allowed.

even surface, as taught by Abd. El Halim.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/2002 have been fully considered, and are persuasive regarding the method claims, but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection for the

apparatus claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

gh

December 9, 2002

GARY S. HARTMANN

Page 3